Prem

App F IX-4

Previous References
tns 10 2 ach 4 7 6

1930
(ML)

File No. 33-30
Serial Nos. 1, 2 & 4

Later References
ML 33-30 ab 3 & 5
33-30 " 7, 11, 16 & 26

PRESERVED.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 3

Part B for Sep 30

SUBJECT.

Confirmation of Mr Hyderali Khan as Sanitary Inspector of the Chemnapatna municipality.

SC(4) The D.C Bangalore
The Comptroller
The Rev Com^r
L 2197-9 dt 9-9-30

Accepts the recommendations of the Rev Com^r.

Ine
14/11

Serial No

1. From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, letter No.C.2.1607/29-30 dated 23rd August 1930, solicits orders of Government in the matter of the proposal of the Channapatna Municipal Council to give a pay of Rs.60/- plus 10/- to Mr. Hyder Ali Khan, Sanitary Inspector.

2. From the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, letter No.Dis.C.Per.98/30-31 dated 23rd August 1930, forwards copy of the above.

The question relates to the proposal to give one Mr. Hyderalli Khan appointed as Sanitary Inspector in the Chennapatna Municipality ~~on~~ a pay of Rs.60/-. In consultation with the Revenue Commissioner orders -- were issued directing ~~his~~ the reversion of his office to his permanent appointment as Revenue Inspector and ~~that the vacancy be utilized for absorbing~~ the assumption in the vacancy of one of the thrownout Sanitary Inspectors.

2) The Deputy Commissioner says that "it is represented" to him that the Revenue Commissioner has recommended to Government that this Official may be -- treated as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy of Sanitary Inspector, etc. There has been no such recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner.

3) The Revenue Commissioner in forwarding copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 23rd August 1930 states that his recommendation to revert the official to the Revenue Department was made on the information

NOTE—Contn Sheet

information furnished by the Deputy Commissioner and that on the facts now represented he has no objection to the confirmation of the official in the Municipality.

4.) From the records, it was gathered that --- Hyder Alikhan who was a Revenue Inspector on Rs.40/- plus 10/- was taken as Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.60/- in February 1927 in the Kolar District. On the abolition of the -- appointments of Village Panchayet Inspectors in ~~July~~ 1929 this Official was appointed as permanent Sanitary -- Inspector in a vacancy in the Channapatna Municipality advertised for the purpose. As a Revenue Inspector he was drawing Rs.40/- permanently and as Village Panchayet Inspector Rs.60/- temporarily. On the representation of the Official, the Municipal -- Council of Channapatna are reported to have approved of his being given a pay of Rs.60/-. It was when this recommendation came to Government that it was noticed that the appointment of the Official as permanent --- Sanitary Inspector was not regular.

5.) In para 6 of Government Order No.L.3059-124 L.B.25-26-14 dated 12th November 1926, Government have directed that such of the staff of the District and Taluk Boards as could not be utilized under the new District Boards should be absorbed as far as possible in existing vacancies in the District. Vacancies among Sanitary Inspectors should have in consonance with the spirit of the orders already issued been filled up by Sanitary Inspectors under the District and Taluk Boards and the places should not have been permanently filled up by others when it was well known that the old Sanitary Inspectors who were working as Village Panchayet Inspectors would be thrown out sooner or later.

6.) The Official in question was appointed --- permanently as Sanitary Inspector though he had a lien

* Since returned to the Dy Comm

"K"

Serial No

lien on his appointment in the Revenue Department. The Revenue Commissioner has reported that there are 14 Sanitary Inspectors to be still provided for. In another connection the Deputy Commissioner has reported that this Official has since been transferred from the Channapatna Municipality to the Bangalore District Board.

7). The questions for consideration are.-

(1) whether the orders already conveyed that the Official be reverted to the Revenue Department be cancelled,

(2) what pay the official should be allowed to draw under the District Board if he is to be retained as a Sanitary Inspector,

(3) whether, as a special case, the official may be allowed to draw a pay of Rs.60/- for the period -- during which he worked in the Channapatna Municipality.

8) The Official has not passed the Sanitary test but was exempted from passing it (please see para 8 of Ml.File.10-29). Sanitary Inspectors with full qualifications usually start on Rs.35/- and rise to a maximum pay of Rs.87/- by annual increments of Rs.2/- up to Rs 65 and then by biennial increments of Rs 5/- up to 87 (Vide Go no R 16474/MU 184-16-23 & 24 6/19)

please below

Flag "L"

9) Submitted for orders.

(1st Member) 3.9.30

The Re's present recommendation not to distribute the ex-gratia claim may be accepted

Draft

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 3 SEPT 1930 No 7002

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02653—G.P.B.—50 000—8 7 30

me File No 33 of 1930

General and Revenue Secretariat

Draft submd
MB 4/9 to Gen Secy
6.9.30
Rig 6/9/30

To the D.C. Banyalure, Ampt, Re L 2197-9 Dy 9.9.30
Accepts the recommendation of the Rev Commr

4

10

B 1579

G R S III—3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

How is this going? Might the R-C modify his opinion? Early please.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

L 1144

GEN & REV SECTT
4 SEPT 1930
L & G BRANCH

A visitor desiring to see any officer is requested to send up his name, stating the nature of his business

Name Rev Abdul-Haleem.

Whom he wishes to see The Genl Secretary

Business

D 986

- About the appointment of his brother Hydar Shikham

(1128)

connected file containing the minutes from the Rev Commr and the D C has been subd to Members on 8.9.30. A further minute since recd from the D C. will also be worked up and submitted.

RB 4/9

These have since come back with orders

5.9.30

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Please see file minutes

5/9/1930 Genl Secy

6.9.30

G R.S III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

ML 33-30-1

In all future communications please quote
No C2-1607/29-30.
Enc (one)

Tel Address —"DEPCOM
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd August 1930

FROM

A.K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject — The pay of Mr. Hyderalikhan, Sanitary Inspector, Chennapatna Municipality.

In enclosing herewith a copy of my letter No. C2- 1607/29-30 dated 18th July 1930 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in reply to a reference made by him in the matter, I have the honour to state that it is represented that there has been a recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner to the Government that his appointment may be regarded as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy as Sanitary Inspector. If it is a fact, there seems to be some misunderstanding as regards the appointment which Mr. Hyderalikhan was holding in Chennapatna Municipality. This appointment was advertised under the C.R.B. Rules as a permanent vacancy and the applicant who was then a Panchayet Inspector in the Kolar District applied for it after getting exemption from the Government from passing the Sanitary tests and was appointed permanently as a Sanitary Inspector of the Chennapatna Municipality. The question of his increment was under the consideration of the said Municipal Council and they have resolved that he should get Rs 60/- (plus Rs 10/- (Cycle allowance)), the pay which he was drawing as a Panchayet Inspector. It was only the question of increment that was under consideration and not his appointment which had been made permanent.

Under these circumstances, I think that if the Revenue

p.t.o.

Commissioner has made a recommendation as stated above, it will not only act as a hardship on the incumbent, but will not be supported ~~to~~ by facts. Hence I request you to kindly examine the case in the light of all these circumstances and obtain early orders of Government in the matter. I have sent a copy of this letter to the Revenue Commissioner for his information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Vishwanatha Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter No. C2-1607/29-30 dated 18th June 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your docket No. C.4687/29-30 dated 7th June 1930 re. the appointment of Mr. Hyder Alikhan as a permanent Sanitary Inspector in Chennapatna Municipality on Rs 60/-plus 10/- I have the honour to state that the official has been exempted from passing the Sanitary tests, and has been appointed through the Central Recruitment Board.

As regards the other point raised in your letter whether there are no Sanitary Inspectors doing duty as Panchayet Inspectors to be provided for on the 1st July 1930, I am to state that, as far as possible, all such officials have now been porvided for. A separate reference is made to you on the subject.

Meanwhile, this official has also been transferred to the District Board and what is now wanted is permission to draw for the official a salary at Rs 60 plus 10/- per mensem for the period of his stay in the Municipality and to start him on Rs 60/-. The Municipality have appreciated his services and have resolved to pay him at that rate.

I request you to kindly obtain the orders of the Government in the matter. The connected records accompany.

"Copy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SS/-.

Ml 33-30-2

In all future communications please quote
No C2-1607/29-30.
Enc

Tel Address — DEPCOM
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd August 1930

L 974

1600

GEN 25 AUG 1930

FROM S.K. Syed Taj Pooran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject — The pay of Mr. Hyderalikhan, Sanitary Inspector, Chennapatna Municipality.

In enclosing herewith a copy of my letter No. C2- 1607/29-30 dated 18th July 1930 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in reply to a reference made by him in the matter, I have the honour to state that it is represented that there has been a recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner to the Government that his appointment may be regarded as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy as Sanitary Inspector. If it is a fact, there seems to be some misunderstanding as regards the appointment which Mr. Hyderalikhan was holding in Chennapatna Municipality. This appointment was advertised under the C.R.B. Rules as a permanent vacancy and the applicant who was then a Panchayet Inspector in the Kolar District applied for it after getting exemption from the Government from passing the Sanitary tests and was appointed permanently as a Sanitary Inspector of the Chennapatna Municipality. The question of his increment was under the consideration of the said Municipal Council and they have resolved that he should get Rs 60/- (plus Rs 10/- (Cycle allowance)), the pay which he was drawing as a Panchayet Inspector. It was only the question of increment that was under consideration and not his appointment which had been made permanent.

Under these circumstances, I think that if the Revenue

p.t.o.

Commissioner has made a recommendation as stated above, it will not only act as a hardship on the incumbent, but will not be supported ~~to~~ by facts. Hence I request you to kindly examine the case in the light of all these circumstances and obtain early orders of Government in the matter. I have sent a copy of this letter to the Revenue Commissioner for his information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd/- A.K. Syed Taj Peeran,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, in continuation of this office No. C2-1607/29-30 dated 18-7-1930.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
No. 35-G
AUG 23 1930
IN MYSORE

SS/-.

Forwarded to the Personal Secretary with compliments. My recommendation was based on the information furnished by the Deputy Commr. in the facts and stated I have no objection to the confirmation of Hydar Ali Khan in the municipality.

23-8-30

App F VI G-2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

the ( ) *192*

Date of issue 9/9/30

Signed by Mr V. S Sastri

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

no C2 1607/29 30 & 23 8. 30

Sec Mel

File No 33-30

Serial No 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read —

Letter no L 1682/Mel 10-29 56 & 25 8.30 from the Gen Secy to Govt to the D. C Bangalore Dt directing the reversion of Mr Hyder Ali Khan Samy Insp, Channapatna, to his perm^t appointment as Rev Insp, Kolar Dt

~~Order Thereon No.~~ 2) memo no IV Dis Per C8/30-31 & 23.8.30 from the RC in Mysore forwarding copy of Bangalore Dt D C's letter in the matter of the retention of Mr Hyder Ali Khan as Samy Insp and stating that he has no objection to the confirmation of the official as Samy Insp in Channapatna P P-O

Order no L 2197-9 Dy 9/30

Govt are pleased to accept the recommendation of the Rev. Commr.

RG 6/9/30

6.9.30 for Gen Secy to Govt

To
The D. C. Bangalore District.
The Comptr to Govt
The Rev Commr in Mysore.

List of enclosure

Copied by 6/9/30

Examined by

WD 079—GPB—8000—10 10 29